

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIANOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES08 MAY 21 AM 9:16  
This space for  
Clerk's Office File Stamp

TO:  U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE /  U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
 FROM: J. Hinkle, Deputy Clerk RECEIVED DATE: 5/08/08  
 CASE NO.: 08cv630-JAH(JMA) DOCUMENT FILED BY: BY: Michael A Crooker, PLA  
 CASE TITLE: Crooker v. Associated Recovery Systems  
 DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Motion

Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Local Rule	Discrepancy
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
<input type="checkbox"/> 5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
<input type="checkbox"/> 5.4	<b>Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.</b>
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1	Missing table of contents
<input type="checkbox"/> 15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
<input type="checkbox"/> 30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
<input type="checkbox"/>	Supplemental documents require court order
<input type="checkbox"/>	Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<u>OTHER: The June 23 (re-scheduled) conference is already designated a telephone conference.</u>
	Date forwarded: <u>5/09/08</u>

ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:**

The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.  
 The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.

Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate?  Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers

Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83.1

CHAMBERS OF: Adler

Dated: 5/20/2008  
 cc: All Parties

By: HALT

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

**REJECTED**

MICHAEL ALAN CROOKER, }  
PLAINTIFF, }  
V. } CIVIL NO. 08-630-JAH (JMA)  
ASSOCIATED RECOVERY SYSTEMS, }  
DEFENDANT. }

MOTION THAT SCHEDULED HEARING OF JUNE 9, 2008  
BE BY TELEPHONE OR PRISON TELECONFERENCING

The plaintiff in this private sector litigation is a high security federal prisoner serving in excess of 21-years who still has further serious federal charges not yet tried. United States v. Crooker, D. Massachusetts 04-30034-MAP (current conviction)(1st Cir. Appeal Pending 07-1964); United States v. Crooker, D. Massachusetts 07-30037-MAP (Pending untried case).

To send this plaintiff on a trip to San Diego in a private sector consumer lawsuit is considered risky, unnecessary, and will be very expensive security-wise to the United States who isn't even a party.

For the foregoing reasons, the hearing scheduled for June 9, 2008 should be by telephone or prison videoconferencing.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I have this date mailed a copy of the foregoing to Steven Turner, Attorney, Lewis Brisbois, etc. LLP, 221 N. Figueroa St., Los Angles, CA 90012.

S/ Michael Alan Crooker

Respectfully submitted,

*Michael Alan Crooker*

Michael Alan Crooker, pro se  
FCI-L-Victorville, No. 03631-158  
P.O. Box 5300  
Adelanto, CA 92301

Dated: May 6, 2008